

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/992,004	11/26/2001	Richard K. Lyon	839-1101	5867
75	90 12/12/2003	12/12/2003 EXAMINER		INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			MEDINA SANABRIA, MARIBEL	
8th Floor 1100 North Gle	he Rd		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			1754	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/992,0	04	LYON, RICHARD K.		
Office Action Summary		y Examine	r	Art Unit		
		 Maribel N	vledina	1754		
	The MAILING DATE of this con	nmunication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period fo	• •					
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMPONENTS (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above is less than a period for reply is specified above, the maximum to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three medical patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. Avisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exist communication. A thirty (30) days, a reply within the standard mum statutory period will apply and wor reply will, by statute, cause the apply anths after the mailing date of this communication.	vent, however, may a rep atutory minimum of thirty (vill expire SIX (6) MONTH plication to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)[Responsive to communication	s) filed on 26 November 2	2001.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL .)⊠ This action is non-final.			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
بصر.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
' 7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected			• •		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or election	requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers			•		
	The specification is objected to		,			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on					
	Applicant may not request that ar	y objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	cluding the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	The oath or declaration is obje		tote the attached	Office Action of form F 10-132.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12			110(a) (d) or (f)		
) All b) Some * c) Nor 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified of application from the Inter-	e of: riority documents have be riority documents have be opies of the priority docun ernational Bureau (PCT Ri	een received. een received in Ap nents have been r ule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage		
13)□	since a specific reference was ir 37 CFR 1.78.	claim for domestic priority neluded in the first sentend	under 35 U.S.C. { ce of the specifica	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) ition or in an Application Data Sheet.		
4.45	a) The translation of the fore	ign language provisional a	application has be	en received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific		
14)	reference was included in the fir	st sentence of the specific	cation or in an App	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific plication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		
				·		
Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Therview S	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		
2) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-692) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Ro prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-			formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

It does not identify the city and either state or foreign country of residence of each inventor. The residence information may be provided on either on an application data sheet or supplemental oath or declaration.

It does not include the notary's seal and venue.

It does not include the notary's signature, or the notary's signature is in the wrong place.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: after "NiO" a comma should be inserted. Appropriate correction is required.
- Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 2 reads in a limitation recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1754

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. Claim 1 is indefinite for use of improper Markush language. The phrase that reads (see lines 11-12) "catalyst chosen from the group of nickel-based reforming catalysts and noble metal based reforming catalysts" is confusing and renders the claim indefinite. The phrase should be changed to catalyst selected [chosen] from the group consisting of nickel-based reforming catalysts and noble metal based reforming catalysts—.
- b. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the sulfur" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- c. Claim 1 is indefinite for use of improper Markush language. The phrase that reads (see lines 14-17) "metal-based catalyst chosen from the group of NiO, Fe₂O₃, MnO, CuO, CoO, CdO and ZnO and mixtures thereof, and Fe₂O₃, MnO, CuO, CoO, CdO and ZnO and mixtures thereof supported on an inert carrier catalyst" is confusing and renders the claim indefinite. The phrase should be changed to --metal-based catalyst selected [chosen] from the group consisting of NiO, Fe₂O₃, MnO, CuO, CoO, CdO, [and] ZnO and mixtures thereof, and Fe₂O₃, MnO, CuO, CoO, CdO, [and] ZnO and mixtures thereof supported on an inert carrier catalyst--
- d. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the switching" in line 21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- e. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the reforming and regenerating modes" in lines 21-
- 22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1754

- f. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the heat consumed" in lines 22-23. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 3 is indefinite for use of improper Markush language. The phrase that reads "the sulfur capture catalyst is chosen from the group, NiO MnO, CuO, CoO, CdO and ZnO" is confusing and renders the claim indefinite. The phrase should be changed to -- "the sulfur capture catalyst is [chosen] selected from the group[,] consisting of NiO, MnO, CuO, CoO, CdO and ZnO" --
- h. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the sulfur capture catalysts". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- i. Claim 5 recite the limitations "the vitiated air produced" and "said vitiated air".

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- j. In claim 6, the limitation that reads "and used to generate power via a gas turbine" renders the claim unclear and confusing. It is not clear what is being used to "generate power". Is it the actual CaCO₃ bed or a product from the reaction taken place in the bed?

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Claims 1-6 disclose allowable subject matter. The prior art fails to disclose or suggest the instantly claimed method, wherein a sour gas containing at least 0.1 moles of hydrogen sulfide per mole of methane is reformed in the presence of steam by passing the steam and sour natural gas over a catalyst chosen from a nickel, platinum-based steam reforming catalyst and through a

Art Unit: 1754

Page 5

catalyst by contacting the metal sulfide formed during the reforming step with air wherein heat

metal-based catalyst to capture sulfur ad a metal sulfide, and regenerating the metal-based

consumed in the reforming step is balanced by heat liberated in the regeneration step.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. US 4,539,310 discloses a steam reforming catalysts and process, wherein the

hydrocarbon stream being reformed comprises hydrogen sulfide.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Maribel Medina whose telephone number is (571) 272-1355.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

Maribel Medina Mul

Examiner

Art Unit 1754

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700